## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## Original Application No. 162/2015 (M. A. No. 664/2015)

Pankaj Kr. Mishra Vs. Union of India & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present:

Applicant/Appellant(s)

Respondent No. 1

Respondent No. 2

Respondent No. 3,7&8

Respondent No. 5,9-11, 46:Ms. Savitri Pandey, Adv.

Respondent No. 12-17,22, :Mr. Anup Sachmay, Shagun Adv.

24,25,27,28

Respondent No. 19 :Mr. B.V.S. Raju, Adv.

Respondent No. 20 :Mr.G. Venkateswara Rao, Adv.

Respondent No. 29,30,31 :Mr. Bharat Sangal, Ms. Venika, Advs. Respondent No. 33&36 : Mr. SAtyendra Kumar, Mr. Vikrant, Advs.

Respondent No. 34,35,40 :Mr. Shahid H. Rizvi, , Advs.

Respondent No. 42 :Mr. PawanUpadhyay, Mr. Nishant Kumar, Mr

Ratik Advs.

Respondent No. 41 :Mr. Nitin Mishra, Adv.

Respondent No. 4 :Mr. Rajul Shrivastav, Sucheta Yadav, Advs.

Respondent No. 43 :Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv. Respondent No. 38,39 :Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.

Respondent No. 33 :Mr. Anand Varma, Mr. Shashank, Mr. Vikrant,

Advs.

Respondent No. 37 :Mr. RajatJariwal, Mr. SahilNarang, Advs.

Respondent No. 44&45 : Dr. Ashwani, Mr. Sumit, Advs.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 5 October28, 2015	
R	Heard. Perused.  The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the
-20	Respondent No. 34, 35 & 40 submits that a larger issue
	of pollution including the air pollution referred to in the
	present case in Singroli region is being considered in
	Ashwini Kumar Dubey Vs Union of India (O. A. NO. 276
	of 2013), which is pending before Court No. 1, and High
	Powered Committee has been appointed by this
	Tribunal in said case to inquire into the larger issue of
	pollution and the report submitted by High Powered
	Committee answers most of the issues and is under
	consideration of Court No. 1. The Learned Counsel

appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 38 & 39-the Thermal Power Stations submits that the report of the High Power Committee has just been received and it is being vetted by the Respondents in order to give comments there on, and the moment such comments are received the report itself can be considered in a present case for passing appropriate directions.

The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 19 submits that reply to the present Application is ready and will be filed in course of the day. We had proceeded ex-parte against the Respondent No. 19 as the reply was not filed within the given period. In the interest of justice, we set aside this order as against the Respondent No. 19 and permit him to file his reply on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-. This amount shall be remitted within a week to Environment Relief Fund. Copies of the replies be furnished to the Applicant who may also furnish copies of the rejoinder to the concerned Respondents.

List this case on 26th November, 2015.

J (U.D. Salvi)	M
,E (Ranjan Chatterjee)	M